<u>Review of recent results</u> on jet physics in Heavy Ion from LHC (compared to RHIC)

Alexandre SHABETAI CNRS/IN2P3 - SUBATECH (Nantes)



Physics motivation



Jets are produced very early and are sensitive to early stage of the collision

- → This allows to probe and study the QGP by using jet properties
 - pp: Study jet production (ex. Cross section measurements) : test pQCD
 - pA / dA: Are they affected by Cold Nuclear Mater effects ?
 - A-A Study in medium energy loss
 - Path length dependence
 - Broadening of shower
 - Leading hadron vs. softening of FF
 - Probe ex. the density of the medium

This can be studied by using various observables, in this talk we will mainly discuss: nuclear modification factors, h-jet azimuthal correlations, di-jet asymmetry and jet FF.

ubatec

Nuclear Modification Factors

 $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{AA}} = \frac{\mathsf{Yield}(\mathsf{AA})}{\mathsf{Yield}(\mathsf{pp})}$

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{AA}}(\mathsf{,p}_{\mathsf{T}}) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{coll}}} \times \frac{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{AA}}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{pp}}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}}$$

In absence of nuclear modifications, hard processes are expected to follow N_{col} scaling $\rightarrow_{RAA} = 1$



Single particle RpPb & RdA



single particle R_{AA} at high p_T



RHIC:

 $R_{\Delta\Delta} = 0.55 + - 0.01(stat) + -0.04(syst:).$ A lot of models describing the trend of R_{AA} with different set of parameters R_{AA} not enough to really constrain the transport coefficients

LHC RUN 2: evolution of the shape at higher p_T ?

Similar R_{AA} values in central collisions at LHC and RHIC: with a much harder spectrum at LHC

 \rightarrow larger ΔE at LHC



Jets Measurements



<u>Jet production cross-sections</u> in pp collisions



Good agreement with NLO pQCD over a broad kinematic range

Important reference for p-Pb & Pb-Pb analyses



Jet R_{pA} @ LHC



jet yield in p-Pb compatible with what is expected from a superposition of independent pp collisions

Consistent with no CNM effects



Results from nucleus nucleus collisions







Background and its fluctuations in Pb-Pb

Ex of ALICE



Larger background fluctuations (σ) for larger R, while larger R should be preferred to recover as much information as possible of the jet property.

$$\rho = median\left(\frac{p_{\rm T}^{{\rm jet},i}}{A_i^{{\rm jet}}}\right)$$

→ Background density scales with event

multiplicity

 $\rho \sim N \langle pT \rangle$

→ $\rho = 200$ GeV/c for most central collisions PbPb collisions at LHC

→ ρ has large event by event up/down fluctuations Ex: $\sigma_{ch} \approx 10$ GeV/c for R=0.4

→ Smaller R → less background fluctuation

 \rightarrow Limit R to resonable values 0.2<R<0.5

→ jets studies are challenging.... In heavy ions Especially at low p_T



Jet Nuclear Modification Factor

 $\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{AA}} = \frac{\mathsf{Yield}(\mathsf{AA})}{\mathsf{Yield}(\mathsf{pp})}$

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{AA}}(\mathsf{,p}_{\mathsf{T}}) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{coll}}} \times \frac{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{AA}}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{pp}}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}}$$

In absence of nuclear modifications, hard processes are expected to follow N_{col} scaling $\rightarrow_{RAA} = 1$



ALICE: Full jet spectrum & RAA in PbPb

<u>collisions</u>

 Full jet spectrum in Pb-Pb: large and fluctuating background (JHEP03 (2012) 053)

 $p_{T,jet} = p_{T,rec} - \rho A$



Strong suppression observed in spectra and $R_{\rm AA}$





Leading track p_T cut **→** fragmentation bias

- Suppression quantified by R_{AA} for different centralities:
- 0-10% R_{AA} ~ 0.28+/-0.04
- 10-30%R_{AA}~ 0.35+/-0.04
- Both models use a fit to hadron R_{AA} to adjust their parameters.

Both models are in agreement with data (slight deviation of YaJEM at high p_T in central collisions)



jet RAA: LHC vs RHIC

<u>LHC</u>:

- Full jet R_{AA} in central Pb-Pb collisions,
- Different jet reconstruction technics (ATLAS: Calo Jets, CMS: PF jets, ALICE: Ch+En Jets) used by the different experiments

• R=0.2

NB: ATLAS scaled from R=0.4 to R=0.2

Results at LHC are in fair agreement

RHIC:

Smaller suppression of inclusive jet yield at RHIC

Jets are also less suppressed than hadrons at RHIC

Jet RAA expected to be one if all the jet energy is measured

Where did the energy go?

QGP France 20

13







For centra collisions, jets are suppressed by about a factor 2



Surface bias effect: the parton producing the jet is biased towards higher in-medium path length Trigger Hadron: close to the surface

Hadron-jet Azimuthal Correlations

Recoil jet measurement provides us with a good handle on the combinatorial background and allows to go to larger R

Bonus: No fragmentation bias on recoil side



ALICE vs STAR: Hadron-jet correlations

ALICE:

Low p_{T,trig} (TT*[8, 9]) trigger recoil jet spectrum as a reference (dominated by combinatorial jet). High p_{T,trig} (TT[20, 50]) trigger recoil jet spectrum mainly from

hard (high Q²) process (signal).

 Δ_{Recoil} is defined as the difference of these two spectra to remove bkg and uncorrelated component. *** TT for triggered track**





STAR: Mixed events describe the combinatorial background → Trigger-correlated jet distribution: subtract ME from data Comparable to ALICE h+jet

16



Hadron-jet correlations at RHIC



<u>ALICE</u>: Recoil jet yields are suppressed (~0.6)

decreases with jet p_T

(conditional yield) independently of R and slowly

STAR



STAR: Nuclear modification factor "I_{CP}":

- close to 1 at low p_T
- large suppression at $p_T > 10$ GeV/c: $I_{CP} \sim 0.2$

Larger suppression at RHIC compared to LHC However: different kinematics and cuts

Constant $\Delta E \sim 8 \text{ GeV}$



Cone size dependence

First step towards measuring internal jet structure Measure jets with different resolution parameters

ALICE: 1506.03984





No evidence for jet broadening for R<0.5

Excess observed for R=0.5



Jet acoplanarity: Inter jet broadning in p-Pb

Sources of a<u>coplanarity</u> in pp: intrinsic k_{T} , 3-jet events, hard FSR, ISR Additional sources in p-Pb: interaction of the partonic projectile with the nuclear medium.







medium induced acoplanarity

Large angle inter-jet scattering



 ALICE : Width (σ) consistent in Pb+Pb with PYTHIA embedded data
 → No evidence of medium-induced acoplanarity of recoil jets









Assymetry : Probing differences in quenching between the two parton showers





 x_J = subleading jet p_T / leading jet p_T



- \bullet ATLAS has fully unfolded detector resolution effects for dijet \textbf{p}_{T} asymmetry
- Difference between Pb+Pb and pp get smaller with leading jet p_T (independent on p_T in CMS)



ubatech

CMS: Where did the energy go?



<u>Missing p_T</u>

$$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\parallel} = \sum_{\mathrm{r}} - p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{i}} \cos\left(\phi_{\mathrm{i}} - \phi_{\mathrm{Dijet}}\right)$$

 Increases with A_J and is balanced by: 2-8 GeV/c particles in pp p_T < 2 GeV/c particles in 0-10% Pb+Pb

• high p_T imbalance at small R compensated by low p_T particles in subleading jet direction extending to large ΔR





ubatech

STAR: di-jets: properties of radiated energy



Find jet with high p_T cut on constituents \rightarrow reduce combinatorial background rerun jet finder for identified jets with looser p_T cut or different radius

Momentum **balance restored** with R=0.4, $p_T^{cut} = 0.2 \text{ GeV}$ Imbalance with:

- increasing p_T^{cut} → Jet softening
 decreasing radius → jet broadening (between 0.2 and 0.4)

Different modification of jet structure at RHIC and LHC

BUT Non negligible jet p_T biases possible (cuts & low p_T jets \rightarrow background?)

ubatec

ALICE : Jet v2



Qualitative agreement between ALICE and ATLAS



ALICE: arXiv:1509.07334 ATLAS: PRL 111 152301 (2013)

ubatech

ATLAS: Path length effects on di-jet production

Goal : constrain path length dependence of enroy loss

Previous study of jet production vs. event plane showed modest path length dependence $(v_{2 jet} \sim 2-5\%)$

ATLAS: PRL 111 (2013)152301

Study un-equal path lengths z

 Selecting on the angle between the leading jet and 2nd order Event-Plane angle Ψ2, provides control on the path length traversed by the jet pair.
 Jet pairs that are Out-of-Plar are expected to traverse more medium than In-Plane.

Extract c2 that quantifies the EP angle dependence of $A_{jf}^{0.2}$



27



 →c₂ is small (<2%) and negative
 →larger A_J for leading jets oriented out-ofplane than for in-plane ones



ATLAS: Neighbouring jet production in Pb-Pb collisions



Fragmentation Functions

Study the momentum distribution of tracks in jets



ALICE: Jet fragmentation functions in pp

•Charged jets in pp collisions @ 7 TeV:

For $\xi < 2$ scalling

At higher ξ , maximum : **'hump-backed plateau'** \rightarrow **suppression of low momentum particle** production by QCD coherence (angular ordering)

With increasing jet pT, the area of the distributions increases (higher particle multiplicity in jets), maximum shifts to higher values of ξ This observation is in qualitative agreement with MLLA

Measurement challenging in Pb-Pb collisions (large heavy ion background and fluctuations).

arXiv:1411.4969





CMS: no modification of fragmentation functions (within uncertainties). Coherent with R_{pPb}

(jets)=1 if R_{pPb} (charged) will move to ~1

ATLAS: suggestion for a hardening of the FF

 \rightarrow Also commes from the interpolation method used for the pp reference ?



FF in PbPb

Reduce background \rightarrow high p_T jets needed



Z = p ||Trk / pJet|

Qualitative agreement between ATLAS and CMS Small modifications:

Depletion from 3-4 GeV to 40-50 GeV (2-3% of the total jet energy) Enhancement below 3-4 GeV (~ 2% of the jet energy)

➔ Need to improve systematics





• Low-*pT* excess of jet tracks in sub-leading jet side extended to large angles

ubatech

Exclusive measurements



Strange particle production in jets





ubated



b-jet R_{AA} decreases with centrality down to ~0.4 in 0-10%

Similar suppression to inclusive jets for p_T >80 GeV/c

 \rightarrow Mass effects might appear at lower p_T



A lot of results on jets from both LHC RUN 1 and RHIC

→In general good consistency between experiments

More **differential observables** are needed in order <u>to better understand</u> and constrain energy loss mechanisms

<u>Theory / Experiments comparisons</u> are very important
 →Are we really comparing the same observables ?
 → Interactions between theorists / experimentalists are crutial.



<u>Outlook</u>

The LHC run 2 is just starting... (STAR / ALICE upgrades)

- Increased luminosity → more statistics will be available
- Redo Run 1 measurements to reduce the systematics incertenties
- new observables are under study (ex PID in jets, HF jets, quark / gluon jets tagging, sub-jets, ...
- → many new exiting results are expected soon → Stay tuned !





